	Ca	ase 3:08-cv-00173-WQH-JMA Do	cument 21	Filed 06/10/2008	Page 1 of 5						
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mark E. Christensen, Esq. (SBN 2290 Jack C. Hsu, Esq. (SBN 224980) Edward E. Sipes, Esq. (SBN 172453) CHRISTENSEN EHRET LLP 2780 Skypark Drive Suite 460 Torrance, CA 90505 Telephone: (310) 534-0300 Facsimile: (310) 534-0340 mchristensen@christensenehret.com jhsu@christensenehret.com espies@christensenehret.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Catlin Under	writing Agenci	es, Limited							
	10			OF CALIFORNIA							
^	11										
LLE	12	CATLIN UNDERWRITING AGENC LIMITED,	CIES,) Ca) Case No. 08-CV-0173 WQH (JMA							
RET	13	Plaintiff,) J	DINT DISCOVERY	PLAN						
野	14	-vs)								
CHRISTENSEN E'HRET LLP	15	SAN DIEGO REFRIGERATED SER	VICES.								
	16	INC. D/B/A HARBORSIDE D/B/A S DIEGO TERMINALS, PLA-ART	SAN)								
	17	INTERNATIONAL D/B/A SAN DIE COLD STORAGE, SAN DIEGO CO									
	18	STORAGE, INC., MIGUEL CUEVA MIGUEL TAMAYO, SERGIO									
	19	HERNANDEZ, MARCUS FOODS, I and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive	NC., (
	20		<u> </u>	,							
	21	Defendants.)									
	22	JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN									
	23										
	24	The Parties, Catlin Underwriting Agencies Limited, San Diego Refrigerated Services, Inc									
	25	and Pla-Art International, Inc. d/b/a San Diego Cold Storage, Miguel Cuevas, and Marcus Food									
	26										
	27										
	28										
	20										
			-1-								

JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Rule 26 (f) c	conference.	As such,	the Par	ties su	bmit t	his Jo	oint	Discovery	Plan,	stating	their	views
and proposal	s on the foll	owing ite	ms, as ı	equire	d unde	er Rul	le 26	5(f)(3):				

What changes should be made in the timing, form, or requirement for A. disclosures under Rule 26(a), including a statement of when initial disclosures were made or will be made;

The Parties have no changes with respect to the above. The Court has set a deadline of June 10, 2008 for the Parties to file their initial Rule 26(a) disclosures.

B. The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on particular issues;

This is a declaratory judgment action by Catlin and a corresponding Counterclaim by defendants San Diego Refrigerated Services, Inc. ("SDRS"), Pla-Art International, Inc. d/b/a San Diego Cold Storage ("SDCS") and Miguel Cuevas ("CUEVAS") in which it is disputed whether there is insurance coverage for these Defendants under Plaintiff Catlin Underwriting Agencies Limited's insurance policy no. LON7081-05 with respect to an underlying claim for loss and subsequent lawsuit filed by Marcus Foods styled Marcus Foods v. San Diego Cold Storage, Inc., Miguel Cueva, Sergio Hernandez and DOES 1-10 in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego No. 37-200700066571-CU-CO-CTL (the "Underlying Suit").

The Parties agree that discovery in this declaratory judgment action should be conducted in phases and be limited initially to two issues: (1) whether or not Plaintiff has a duty to defend the Underlying Lawsuit, and (2) whether or not the terms and conditions for coverage under Plaintiff's policy, including notice provisions, were satisfied with respect to the Underlying Claim. The Parties agree that the fact discovery cut-off date for these issues CHRISTENSEN EHRET LLP

should be January 9, 2009, and the parties should have up to and including February 10,
2009, to file any motions for summary judgment that such party deems appropriate, with the
Court then designating the time for filing any responses and/or replies. This procedure
would allow the parties to conduct discovery, if necessary, on the duty to indemnify and the
Counterclaim of SDRS, SDCS and/or CUEVAS for Catlin's alleged bad faith claims
handling practices and/or breach of contractual and fiduciary obligations after the Court
rules on the motions for summary judgment on the initial two issues. The Parties believe
that this initial discovery and dispositive motion schedule may save the Court and the
Parties time and money.

C. Any issues about disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced;

None at this time.

D. Any issues about claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, including – if the parties agree on a procedure to assert these claims after production – whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order;

None at this time.

E. What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under these rules or by local rule, and what other limitations should be imposed; and

None at this time.

JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN

Document 21

Filed 06/10/2008

Page 4 of 5

Case 3:08-cv-00173-WQH-JMA

